		Decisions	where requ	ests were	rejected		Appeals fi	led before Authority	the	Appeals fi Informati	led before the fore t	ion	No of cases where disciplinary	Amount of fee Collected
Name of the public authority under the	No of request	Number	No of times		rovisions wer Sec. 11	e invoked Sec. 24	No of appeals	Outcome Appeals accepted	of appeals Appeals rejected	No of appeals	Outcome of Appeals accepted	of appeals Appeals rejected	action was taken against any	
department		decisions						accepted					officer in respect of	
													administrati on of this Act	
	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
2 Advocate General,	26	21	-		-	-	-							
H.P. Shimla.														licant
Nieto Ciro	polication	s are pendir	ng. The info	rmation/de	ocuments are	ready to b	e issued to	the applica	ints but addi	tional amou	int has not b	een deposi	ted by the appl	

PROFORMA FOR FURNISHING OF INFORMATION TO STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION HIMACHAL PRADESH FOR THE ANNUAL REPORT 2014-2015 (Under Section 25 of the right to information Act, 2005)

Note: Details of all cases where requests have been rejected by the PIO should be enclosed with Annexure giving the reasons for such rejection.

Annexure 'A'

As on March 31, 2015

g

Public Information Officer, Department of Advocate General, Himachal Pradesh Shimla-171001

PROFORMA FOR FURNISHING OF INFORMATION TO STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION HIMACHAL PRADESH FOR THE ANNUAL REPORT 2014-2015 (Under Section 25 of the Right to Information Act., 2005).

Sr. No.	Name of department		ed before the Appellat	No of any 1			
	Appenant Authority.		No of appeals accepted.	No of appeals rejected.	No of appeals decided.	No of Appeals not considered.	
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	
2.	Advocate General,State of	nil	nil	nil	nil	nil	
	HP, Deputy Advocate						
	General,						

NOTE: Detail of all the appeals along with the date of decision should be enclosed.

As on March 31,2015

Appellant Authority Office of Advocate General, State of HP Shimla.